

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,091	04/15/2004		Ching-Chuan Kuo	U 015153-4	7163
7590 09/22/2005				EXAMINER	
WILLIAM R.	EVANS		ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
c/o LADAS & 3 26 WEST 61ST		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,			3676		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/825,091	KUO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Estremsky	3676
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	•
Disposition of Claims		
· _		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applicatio		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawii iroini consideration,	
6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Vor election requirement	
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	aror election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	ner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority dilder de e.e.e. 3 1	10(4)-(4) 01 (1).
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority docume		blication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	• •	
application from the International Bure	·	veervea in time (valiena) etage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	, , , ,	ceived.
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/I 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/30/04</u> .	6) Other:	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 91705

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,575,006 to Don in view of U.S. Pat. No. 2,778,667 to Young.

Don '006 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including: a "sleeve disc" - 49, having a "first wall", "second wall", "third wall" analogous to the presently-disclosed invention, a "rotative tube" - particularly including 44,45,47 as they form a single body in their assembled position, a "torsion spring" - 48, a "guard ring" - 50. Although the parts 44,45,47 are separately formed, they operate as a single part in their assembly. Young '667 discloses that it is well known in the art to manufacture similar structure (2) as a single part. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace parts 44,45,47 with a single part shaped and arranged to perform the same function since it has been held that forming in one piece an article

Art Unit: 3676

which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893). One of ordinary skill in the art would have more than a reasonable expectation of success since prior art explicitly discloses that similarly-shaped assemblies can be made in one piece.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,125,696 to Robida.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,666,833 to Gao.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,887,465 to Shen.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,383,602 to Lan.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 571 272-7055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,091

Art Unit: 3676

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676